#### PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

**PCT** 

REC'D 05 OCT 2004

# INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINATION REPORTS (PCT Article 36 and Rule 70)

International application No.  PCT/EP 03/14354  International filing date (day/month/year) 16.12.2003  International Patent Classification (IPC) or both national classification and IPC C07C209/52					
Applicant BASF AKTIENGESELLSCHAFT					
This international preliminary examination report has been prepared by this International Preliminary Examining     Authority and is transmitted to the applicant according to Article 36.					
2. This REPORT consists of a total of 4 sheets, including this cover sheet.					
This report is also accompanied by ANNEXES, i.e. sheets of the description, claims and/or drawings which have been amended and are the basis for this report and/or sheets containing rectifications made before this Authority (see Rule 70.16 and Section 607 of the Administrative Instructions under the PCT).					
These annexes consist of a total of sheets.					
3. This report contains indications relating to the following items:					
l ⊠ Basis of the opinion					
II □ Priority					
III Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability					
IV ☐ Lack of unity of invention					
V Neasoned statement under Rule 66.2(a)(ii) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicabilit citations and explanations supporting such statement					
VI Certain documents cited					
VII   Certain defects in the international application					
VIII   Certain observations on the international application					
Date of submission of the demand  Date of completion of this report					
15.07.2004 04.10.2004					
Name and mailing address of the international preliminary examining authority:  Authorized Officer					
European Patent Office D-80298 Munich Kleidernigg, O					
Tel. +49 89 2399 - 0 Tx: 523656 epmu d Fax: +49 89 2399 - 4465  Telephone No. +49 89 2399-2143					

## INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINATION REPORT

International application No.

PCT/EP 03/14354

1.	<b>Basis</b>	of the	report
----	--------------	--------	--------

1. With regard to the **elements** of the international application (Replacement sheets which have been furnished to the receiving Office in response to an invitation under Article 14 are referred to in this report as "originally filed" and are not annexed to this report since they do not contain amendments (Rules 70.16 and 70.17)):

	Dosc	cription, Pages			
	1-6	inpuon, rages	as originally filed		
Claims, Numbers					
1-15			as originally filed		
2.	With regard to the language, all the elements marked above were available or furnished to this Authority in t language in which the international application was filed, unless otherwise indicated under this item.				
These elements were available or furnished to this Authority in the following language: , which					
			nslation furnished for the purposes of the international search (under Rule 23.1(b)).		
			cation of the international application (under Rule 48.3(b)).		
		the language of a train Rule 55.2 and/or 55.3	nslation furnished for the purposes of international preliminary examination (under		
3.	3. With regard to any nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence disclosed in the international application, the international preliminary examination was carried out on the basis of the sequence listing:				
		contained in the inter	national application in written form.		
		filed together with the	e international application in computer readable form.		
		furnished subsequen	tly to this Authority in written form.		
		furnished subsequen	tly to this Authority in computer readable form.		
		The statement that the in the international a	ne subsequently furnished written sequence listing does not go beyond the disclosure oplication as filed has been furnished.		
		The statement that the listing has been furni	ne information recorded in computer readable form is identical to the written sequence ished.		
4. The amendments have resulted in the cancellation of:					
		the description,	pages: -		
		the claims,	Nos.:		
		the drawings,	sheets:		
5.  This report has been established as if (some of) the amendments had not been made, sinc been considered to go beyond the disclosure as filed (Rule 70.2(c)).					
		(Any replacement sl report.)	heet containing such amendments must be referred to under item 1 and annexed to thi		
6	. Ad	ditional observations,	if necessary:		

## INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINATION REPORT

International application No.

PCT/EP 03/14354

V. Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

1. Statement

Novelty (N) Yes: Claims 1-15

No: Claims

Inventive step (IS) Yes: Claims 1-15

No: Claims

Industrial applicability (IA) Yes: Claims 1-15

No: Claims

2. Citations and explanations

see separate sheet

#### Section V

D1: WO 00 69805 A (PERRIN JANET GILLES ;LEROY PIERRE (FR); ANCEL JEAN ERICK (FR); AVE) 23 November 2000 (2000-11-23)

D2: EP-A-0 878 461 (CLARIANT GMBH) 18 November 1998 (1998-11-18)

The present application is directed to process for the preparation of halogenated anilines from substituted 1-chlorobenzenes which comprises a) reacting halogenated 1-chlorobenzene selectively with an imine in the presence of a transition metal catalyst complex and a base to form an N-aryl imine; and b) hydrolysing the N-aryl imine; and c) isolating the substituted halogenated aniline.

D1 represents the closest prior art and differs from the subject matter of the present application insofar that a substituted phenylhydrazine, stemming from the reaction of 1,3,5-trichlorobenzene and hydrazine hydrate, is hydrogenated in the presence of Raney nickel (cf. examples 1-6, claims 1-6).

The technical problem may be regarded as the provision of an improved process for the production of halogenated anilines.

The solution is given in the in claim 1 and concerns the formation of an N-aryl imine and its subsequent hydrolysis to the desired aniline derivative.

However, this kind of reaction protocol appears to be less dangerous compared to the use of hydrazine. The reaction protocol proposed by the present application is also not deducible for the skilled person in the art from D1.

Thus, the subject matter of claims 1-15 fulfills the criteria of Article 33(2) and (3) PCT with respect to the available prior art.